

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/671,327		09/25/2003	William L. Hunter	110129.405C3	5649	
500	7590	01/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC				WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			1617	·		
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005		

DATE MAILED. 01/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SUPPL Office Action Summary

10/67132 **Group Art Unit**

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ___ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{9/25/63}{}$ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 15-125 is/are pending in the application. ☐ Claim(s) ___ Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consid ration. is/are allowed. Claim(s)_ is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s)— ☐ Claim(s)_____ _____is/are objected to. $\frac{15-125}{}$ are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _______ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:__ Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Other____ ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-948 Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 1/6

A supplemental action is made because the original action was directed to claims water are amended.

Claims 16-22 (exemplary) are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising Blood vessels. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The claims should be considered as one Markush group. One Ultimate Blood Vessel should be elected. That is, if applicants elect an artery, one artery should be elected from claims 17-20.

Claims 25-41 (Exemplary) are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising actives. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/671,327

Art Unit: 1617

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

One Ultimate active should be elected.

Claims 46-55 (exemplary) are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

One <u>Ultimate</u> polymer should be elected.

Claim56-59 (exemplary) are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising forms. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Claims 60-66 (Exemplary) are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising vascular diseases. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

One Ultimate disease should be elected.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Webman/LR January 11, 2005

Art Unit: 1617